

Johnson College

Spring 2018 Course Evaluations

Level: Johnson College
Response Rate: 2300/2656 (86.60 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	Mean	Std	Median
Course Evaluation: The course content was presented in an organized manner	n	1741	817	232	64	71	4.40	0.91	5.00
	%	59.52%	27.93%	7.93%	2.19%	2.43%			
Course Evaluation: The learning assignments helped me reach the desired learning results described in the course objectives	n	1716	826	256	56	71	4.39	0.90	5.00
	%	58.67%	28.24%	8.75%	1.91%	2.43%			
Course Evaluation: The textbooks, lab materials, and provided handouts were appropriate for the course	n	1715	840	248	46	76	4.39	0.90	5.00
	%	58.63%	28.72%	8.48%	1.57%	2.60%			
Course Evaluation: The course challenged me intellectually	n	1746	817	232	62	68	4.41	0.90	5.00
	%	59.69%	27.93%	7.93%	2.12%	2.32%			
Course Evaluation: The course increased my knowledge and understanding of the subject	n	1756	806	231	59	73	4.41	0.91	5.00
	%	60.03%	27.56%	7.90%	2.02%	2.50%			

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Uncertain, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

Question		5	4	3	2	1	Mean	Std	Median
Instructor Evaluation: The instructor was well prepared for class	n	1758	813	247	46	61	4.42	0.87	5.00
	%	60.10%	27.79%	8.44%	1.57%	2.09%			
Instructor Evaluation: The instructor was available outside of class when I had difficulties or questions	n	1768	790	262	49	56	4.42	0.87	5.00
	%	60.44%	27.01%	8.96%	1.68%	1.91%			
Instructor Evaluation: The instructor provided prompt and constructive feedback on assignments	n	1775	784	238	60	68	4.41	0.90	5.00
	%	60.68%	26.80%	8.14%	2.05%	2.32%			
Instructor Evaluation: The instructor created a classroom environment that was accepting and respectful of all present	n	1792	831	207	30	65	4.45	0.85	5.00
	%	61.26%	28.41%	7.08%	1.03%	2.22%			
Instructor Evaluation: The instructor encouraged student questions and participation	n	1841	803	203	21	57	4.49	0.82	5.00
	%	62.94%	27.45%	6.94%	0.72%	1.95%			

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

Question		5	4	3	2	1	Mean	Std	Median
Please rate your satisfaction with the following: The Course Instructor	n	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
Please rate your satisfaction with the following: The Instructor's attitude during the course	n	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
Please rate your satisfaction with the following: The Instructor's helpfulness during the course	n	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
Please rate your satisfaction with the following: The Course Overall	n	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			

Scale: 5 = Very Satisfied, 4 = Satisfied, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Dissatisfied, 1 = Very Dissatisfied

Question		2	1	Mean	Std	Median
Was the expected work outside of class manageable?	n	2803	122	1.96	0.20	2.00
	%	95.83%	4.17%			

Scale: 2 = Yes, 1 = No

Question		1	2	3	4	Mean	Std	Median
How many hours did you spend per week on preparation/homework for this course?	n	1692	844	323	66	1.58	0.77	1.00
	%	57.85%	28.85%	11.04%	2.26%			

Scale: 1 = 0-4, 2 = 5-9, 3 = 10-14, 4 = More than 15 hours

Johnson College

Spring 2018 Course Evaluations

Level: Johnson College
Response Rate: 2300/2656 (86.60 %)

Question		1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Std	Median
How many hours per week did you spend preparing for ALL your classes?	n	960	810	609	304	242	2.34	1.26	2.00
	%	32.82%	27.69%	20.82%	10.39%	8.27%			

Scale: 1 = 0-5, 2 = 6-10, 3 = 11-15, 4 = 16-20, 5 = More than 20 hours

Question		5	4	3	2	1	Mean	Std	Median
Technology In the Classroom: The instructor used current industry-based technology in the classroom and labs.	n	1801	791	233	38	51	4.46	0.83	5.00
	%	61.81%	27.14%	8.00%	1.30%	1.75%			
Technology In the Classroom: My grades were regularly updated in D2L throughout the semester	n	1743	815	210	63	77	4.40	0.91	5.00
	%	59.94%	28.03%	7.22%	2.17%	2.65%			

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Uncertain, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

Please identify what you consider to be the strengths of the course.

Please identify area(s) where you think the course could be improved.