


## **Employee Survey for Summer Open House 2020**










- I believe that Academic Advising and student support offices need to be spread out. One on the top side and one on the lower level of campus as VET, RAD, PTA WTC AUT students might not have came down to the lower level if not interested in areas down there. Financial Aid had a line the majority of the time. having two locations for that would help too.
- I believe that was the best possible scenario
- We should use the outside spaces as much as possible
- A little scary during COVID when people without masks walked up to us first prior to checking in
- It was a lot of running around for the perspective students on a very hot day. Richmond staff was too far for most programs.
- This worked very well. It was a long day but the format was awesome. Maybe I would switch the location of a few tables, i.e. bring financial aid closer to the enrollment table, but overall it was great.
- The timing allowed for a very personal discussion with all who came to the table. Potential students opened up with questions and concerns that they may have been hesitant to ask in a crowd.
- 3 sessions gave instructors and staff the time and opportunity to meet and talk with attendees on an individual basis. Having the outside labs and being spread across campus gave people the opportunity to see more of the campus. I feel this format should be used going forward.
- I think this was a great set up: very spread out, not a lot of crowds gathering in one place, forced student to see more of campus, and allowed the instructors to be by their lab areas. Downside: It was very hot that day so at points it made it uncomfortable and if it rains I don't think it would be successful.
- The best part was that it allowed me to be in the lab and speak directly to the prospective students. It's better when the teachers are in the lab space.
- I think it made for a more relaxed atmosphere, especially for the Visitors. It allowed more one on one time for questions and discussion.
- a little bit of a long day, but I didn't mind being outside
- Possibly scheduling different departments at stages through the day to break up the time each department needs to be on campus.
- I thought it was a nice set up. Interested students are more likely to see the labs with this particular layout
- I think the format worked perfectly. Not only given the current situation with the pandemic, but I think separate sessions works well for an outdoor setting.
- A bit too hot !!

# **Employee Survey for Summer Open House 2020**






- · Name Tags for students and Year of interest
- · I don't think it had anything to do with the format though
- I spoke with a lot of people all day.
- · Not many came to our area (PTA and Vet) but those who did left with their questions answered, a facility tour and personal attention.
- It was a strong showing from the public that they felt safe to attend the college's event.
- · very receptive
- I was a floater so I was all over the place.
- Given this was the first open house I attended, I set some lofty expectations. I believe I have ample experience and feedback to help expand upon our departments visits for next year.



- I think 2 hrs was right for the CV19 protocols. But It was nice to have spurts at each start time.
- I think that we could have ended at 2.
- Maybe two, two-hour sessions would work. It went until 3pm but we seemed to be wrapped by 2pm/2:30pm.
- It was a perfect amount of sessions and time. It allowed faculty and staff the time to talk with potential students and their families in a more personalized way.
- I feel by about an hour/hour and a half, there weren't many students on campus. I kept doing labs to see if I could find anyone to help.
- 11/2 hours per session would be fine
- I didn't have alot of students, so it made it feel like a very long day.
- Thinking an hour for each session will be perfect. Most students were through in 1 hr.
- I believe, if anything, the sessions could have been a bit shorter. It seemed as though the first session was busy throughout the two hour block, but the sessions thereafter quieted down after the first hour. That could've been attributed to the heat, less people in the second and third sessions when compared to the first, or anything else.

# **Employee Survey for Summer Open House 2020**



- Masks outdoors are odd. Especially if 6 ft apart. Families walking around took them off while walking which made sense. Putting the back on when they came to a table.
- See above, we had people without masks come to our table prior to checking in. A recommendation would be a 6 foot barrier in front of the table. We had some people leaning in too close.
- There were plenty of masks and no one seemed to mind wearing them and staying their distance as needed. I saw hand sanitizer on every table. The presence of the facilities team was awesome! I saw Connie, Kathryn, and Mark C walking around and cleaning constantly.
- Thank you maintenance for keeping us all safe and healthy. I saw constant cleaning surfaces too.

### 8 - What aspect(s) of the Summer 2020 Open House did you particularly like?

**Response Rate** 25/55 (45.45%)

- Actually seeing ALL of the campus staff! I am grateful for Jesse Z. She was all over the place taking students places, keepng everyone hydrated. Alex did a great job checking on everyone! That matters!
- I liked being near my department so I could do the lab tours. I felt like I was able to get up and move more with this format.
- · The set up outside
- Branding if the event (looked very pulled together) Putting departments together is always great for students to see
- Outside
- I like the fact that we were close to our program labs and classrooms.
- The opportunity to interact with people face to face, even though we had to be masked and distanced. It was different but demonstrates what can be done in this "new normal." Prospective students and parents commented that they appreciated the opportunity to meet their potential instructors and see the lab space. People really seemed to like being outside and having an actual event to attend.
- The opportunity to meet some of our potential students and hear what questions they had.
- amount of time, number of sessions and the outside venue
- open areas to visit, not structured sessions, no time constraints
- The set up, the positive attitude by faculty and staff, and the amount of potential students who attended (thanks marketing for getting the word out!)
- · All things considered, it was a successful outing.
- The flow was good and the areas were spread out around campus.
- I really liked the fact of being closer to the program Area. I feel that we should do it like this moving forward. I feel the Gym can still be utilized as for certain aspects.
- N/A
- $\bullet$  Had more time to talk to people and not rushed to try to get everyone through to the lab space
- Students seem to like walking around the campus and there seemed to be enough people directing.
- That it was outside.
- Outside.
- Effective use of the areas was good. Scheduled use seemed to work well.
- The table outside was nice. It was a nice day out and a good transition into the lab setting.
- The use of the entire campus and being outside.
- I enjoyed the outdoor aspects because it was more manageable.
- The tables being at he program areas was very nice
- We were in our shop areas

## **Employee Survey for Summer Open House 2020**

### 9 - What aspect(s) of the Summer 2020 Open House did you particularly dislike?

Response Rate 16/55 (29.09%)

- Layout and Locations of Support offices. Should have two stations. UPPER and LOWER
- I think some students like to browse all tables when we are all in the gym so I feel like that may have attributed to lack of interest this time.
- NA
- nothing
- Heat
- Being outside, in the heat, but manageable. Can't help that, I know. Better than rain. Long for faculty/staff with no break if no other help was available (1 person dept., like Biomed and CIT)
- It was a very long, hot day and the lack of food being provided was a common complaint I heard throughout.
- Too long of a day, Moving forward i would recommend shorter sessions.
- N/A
- · It was a bit long
- The heat. Sweating in masks.
- · Length of time in the sun was a bit much.
- · Not as many people for the program in each group. Should be equal if possible in all groups.
- · No break for a bite to eat (my own doing)
- We should have had a registration tables on not just Moffat but in the Richmond area since some guest parked in the Richmond parking lots. This would have made it easier for guest to explore our lower campus program areas if those were in their interest.
- it was hot

### 10 - Do you have any other comments or suggestions you would like to share?

Response Rate

15/55 (27.27%)

- Parking Details. better explained. Some people were shocked they had to walk to Moffat from the lower lot. Had the south entrance open which people couldnt get to the Moffat lot because of the set up of tables and blocked drive way.
- · Not at this time
- GREAT job to everyone involved. There were a lot of moving parts to this, especially since we never held an Open House in this format before and it was an awesome event.
- · Maybe a campus scavenger hunt to encourage visitors to check out other areas of campus, not just their own major.
- Adding this format to other events on campus.
- Water-water-was great! I know there couldn't have been served food, but snack options, especially for those with health requirements (pre-bagged snacks, crackers, etc.) A few people brought some on their own, just in case.
- n/a
- Open House was well organized and ran efficiently.
- I think there needs to be more than one person manning the Financial Aid table. From what I saw that seemed to always have a line of students waiting to talk to Theresa.
- N/A
- no
- This was the best Open House so far. No need to go back to the ole way. Can't wait for Fall outside.
- · Very nicely done honestly! The masks were a good idea as well!
- Scheduling times for visitors to spend at given department locations. This may better ensure coverage by staff and faculty at their tables
- I think we had less number of visitors because of the pandemic, but not for any other reason. I think we set a high bar. Go Johnson! We Work!